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## Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study
$T=293 \mathrm{~K}$
Mean $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})=0.005 \AA$
$R$ factor $=0.050$
$w R$ factor $=0.145$
Data-to-parameter ratio $=16.2$
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## 1,2-Bis(3,5-dimethylpyrazol-1-yl)-anti-[2.2]paracyclonaphthane

The title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$, is a new 3,5-dimethylpyrazolesubstituted paracyclonaphthane. Each of the two symmetryindependent molecules in the asymmetric unit occupies a special position on a twofold axis and exhibits an intramolecular $\pi-\pi$ stacking interaction between the naphthalene moieties. The geometry of both molecules is essentially the same, the bridged rings of the naphthalene nuclei being deformed into a boat shape.

## Comment

Paracyclophanes have long been the focus of extensive structural studies. A number of interesting paracyclophane derivatives have been documented in recent years, among them such compounds as dibenzo[2.2]paracyclophane (Chan \& Wong, 1988), poly(9-hydroxyl[2.2]paracyclophan-l-ene) (Miao \& Bazan, 1994), octafluoro[2,2]p-cyclophanes (Alfonso et al., 2001) and 4,7,12,15-tetra(4-dihexylaminostyryl)[2.2]paracyclophane (Bartholomew \& Bazan, 2002). The crystal structures of the anti and syn isomers of [2,2]paracyclonaphthane (Fratini et al., 1995) and substituted derivatives of anti-[2.2]paracylonaphthanes (Gleiter et al., 1997) have also been reported.

(I)

We have synthesized a series of derivatives of anti-[2.2]paracyclonaphthane and report here the crystal structure of the title compound, (I).

The crystal structure of (I) has two symmetry-independent molecules in the asymmetric unit, each occupying a special position on a twofold axis. The geometry of both molecules is essentially the same; the structures of the two molecules are shown in Fig. 1. As in other paracyclophanes, there is intramolecular $\pi-\pi$ stacking between the two naphthalene rings. A peculiar structural feature is the deformation of the bridged rings of the naphthalene systems into a boat shape, the
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Figure 1
The structures of the two independent molecules of the title compound, showing $35 \%$ probability displacement ellipsoids and the atomnumbering scheme; H atoms have been omitted.
distortions from ideal geometries being attributed to intramolecular effects. Indeed, in the first independent molecule, atoms C 1 and C 4 are displaced towards the second naphthalene system of this molecule by 0.145 (4) and 0.159 (5) $\AA$, respectively, from the plane formed by atoms C2, C3, C5 and C10. The dihedral angles between the latter plane and the planes defined by $\mathrm{C} 1 / \mathrm{C} 2 / \mathrm{C} 10$ and $\mathrm{C} 3 / \mathrm{C} 4 / \mathrm{C} 5$ are 11.7 (2) and 12.7 (2) ${ }^{\circ}$, respectively. In the second molecule, atoms C18 and C21 exhibit similar displacements of 0.147 (4) and 0.164 (5) A., respectively, from the C19/C20/C22/C27 plane; the dihedral angles between the C19/C20/C22/C27 plane and C18/C19/C27 and C20/C21/C22 are 12.0 (2) and 13.1 (2) ${ }^{\circ}$, respectively.

## Experimental

The synthesis of the title compound was carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere. A tetrahydrofuran (THF) solution of pyrazole ( 10 g , 0.147 mmol ) was added to a suspension of oil-free sodium hydride $(7.56 \mathrm{~g}, 2.94 \mathrm{mmol})$ in an ice-bath and the mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. A THF solution $(100 \mathrm{ml})$ of 1,4 -bis(bromomethyl)naphthalene ( $16 \mathrm{~g}, 0.05 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred for 24 h at 333 K . The solvent was removed using
a rotary evaporator and water $(100 \mathrm{ml})$ was added to the residue. The solution was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; the dichloromethane phase was dried with anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent was removed. The solid residue was chromatographed on silica gel with petroleum ether and ethyl acetate as the eluant (yield: $15 \%$ ). Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ : C $80.79, \mathrm{H} 6.54$, N $12.67 \%$; found: C 80.70 , H 6.48 , N $12.71 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\delta 8.29(d, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(d, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(d, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(t, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(t$, 2 H, ), $5.73(s, 2 \mathrm{H}),, 5.49(d, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(s$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 2.17$ ( $s, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ).

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$
$M_{r}=496.64$
Orthorhombic, Ibca
$a=16.6732(18) \AA$
$b=16.674$ (2) A
$c=39.267(8) \AA$
$V=10916$ (3) $\AA^{3}$
$Z=16$
$D_{x}=1.209 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Data collection
Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: none
30541 measured reflections
5619 independent reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.050$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.145$
$S=1.06$
5619 reflections
347 parameters
H -atom parameters constrained

> Mo $K \alpha$ radiation Cell parameters from 938 reflections $\theta=2.8-26.4^{\circ}$ $\mu=0.07 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ $T=293(2) \mathrm{K}$ Prism, colourless $0.32 \times 0.26 \times 0.16 \mathrm{~mm}$    3521 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ $R_{\text {int }}=0.060$ $\theta_{\text {max }}=26.4^{\circ}$ $h=-13 \rightarrow 20$ $k=-20 \rightarrow 20$ $l=-46 \rightarrow 49$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)+(0.0673 P)^{2}\right. \\
& \quad+3.9798 P] \\
& \text { where } P=\left(F_{o}^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3 \\
& (\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.001 \\
& \Delta \rho_{\max }=0.30 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.32 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} \\
& \text { Extinntion correction: SHELXL97 } \\
& \text { Extinction coefficient: } 0.00047 \text { (8) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters ( $\left(\AA{ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 11$ | $1.462(4)$ | $\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 12^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $1.565(8)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{C} 28$ | $1.457(4)$ | $\mathrm{C} 18-\mathrm{C} 28$ | $1.517(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 11$ | $1.526(4)$ | $\mathrm{C} 21-\mathrm{C} 29$ | $1.507(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 12$ | $1.515(4)$ | $\mathrm{C} 28-\mathrm{C} 28^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | $1.578(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 11^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $1.582(6)$ | $\mathrm{C} 29-\mathrm{C} 29^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | $1.586(8)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 11^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $111.83(17)$ | $\mathrm{C} 18-\mathrm{C} 28-\mathrm{C} 28^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | $111.67(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $111.7(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 21-\mathrm{C} 29-\mathrm{C} 29^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | $111.4(2)$ |

Symmetry codes: (i) $1-x, \frac{3}{2}-y, z$; (ii) $1-x, \frac{1}{2}-y, z$.
Even though the $a$ and $b$ axis lengths are essentially identical and an approximate diagonal mirror symmetry pattern is observed in the reflection intensities $[I(h, k, l) \simeq I(k, h, l)]$, numerous attempts to solve the structure in one of the possible tetragonal space groups proved to be unsuccessful. However, we managed to obtain a plausible model in the orthorhombic space group Ibca, which, nevertheless, could not be refined to an $R$ value of less than 0.13 . At this point, we considered that a twinned orthorhombic crystal with $a$ and $b$ equal in length might emulate tetragonal symmetry as a result of exchange of the two axes. This twin model was successfully applied, dramatically reducing the $R$ value. PLATON (Spek, 2003) was used to check the geometric consistency of the structure and did not reveal any problems or overlooked symmetry. H atoms were placed in calculated positions, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.93$ or $0.97 \AA$, and included in the
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final cycles of refinement in the riding-model approximation, with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}$ of the carrier atom $\left[1.5 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})\right.$ for methyl H atoms].

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 1999); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Bruker, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 20342006).

## References

Alfonso, D., Escobedo, J. O., Read, M. W., Fronczek, F. R. \& Strongin, R. M. (2001). Tetrahedron Lett. 42, 3555-3557.

Bartholomew, G. P. \& Bazan, G. C. (2002). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 124, 5183-5196. Bruker (1998). SMART. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
Bruker (1999). SAINT and SHELXTL. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
Chan, C. W. \& Wong, H. N. C. (1988). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 110, 462-469.
Fratini, A. V., Chabinyc, M. L., Perko, T. J. \& Adams, W. W. (1995). Acta Cryst. C51, 904-908.
Gleiter, R., Staub, K., Irngartinger, H. \& Oeser, T. (1997). J. Org. Chem. 62, 7644-7649.
Miao, Y.-J. \& Bazan, G. C. (1994). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 116, 9379-9380.
Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.

